EXHIBIT 13

Washington
700 13th Street, NW
10th Floor
Washington, DC 20005-3960
T +1 202 777 4500
(Switchboard)
+1 202-777-4587 (Direct)
E julie.elmer@freshfields.com

Confidential Treatment Requested By Email

Ryan Karr Antitrust Division U.S. Department of Justice 450 5th St. NW Washington, DC 20530

October 4, 2021

Re: Civil Investigative Demand No. 30769

Dear Ryan:

I am writing on behalf of Alphabet Inc. ("Company"). In response to your letter of September 17, 2021 and based on further investigation, the Company submits the following information in response to the Division's Civil Investigative Demand for 30(b)(6) deposition testimony ("CID") issued August 23, 2021.

1. Specification 1

a. <u>Division's Request</u>: "The business considerations investigated; business analyses conducted; or conclusions, findings, or recommendations made, reached, or adopted by Google relating to internal projects with [various] codenames[.]"

b. Company's Response:

i. **Project Sunday**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work

product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Sunday was an analysis of potential changes to Google's ad tech business in light of global regulatory investigations.

- ii. **Project Monday**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Monday was an analysis of potential changes to Google's ad tech products in light of global regulatory investigations.
- iii. **Project Stonehenge**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Stonehenge was an analysis of potential remedies in response to global regulatory investigations.
- iv. **Project SingleClick**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project SingleClick was an analysis of potential remedies in response to global regulatory investigations.
- v. **Project 1Door**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project 1Door is a proposal to streamline the three buying doors for Ad Manager and AdMob. By way of further response, Google refers the Division to the CID deposition testimony of
- vi. **Project Banksy**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that the current Project Banksy effort refers to an analysis of potential remedies in response to antitrust regulatory investigations. "Banksy" also refers to the concept underlying Header Bidding Manager, a feature designed to support demand coming into Ad Manager from client-side header bidding. By way of further response, Google refers the Division to the CID deposition testimony of
- vii. **Project Quantize**: Google objects to this specification as seeking information protected by the attorney-client privilege. Subject to and

without waiving these objections, Google states that Quantize was a request for legal advice regarding compliance with privacy and competition laws and counsel's advice in response to that request.

- viii. **Project Garamond**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, as it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Google also objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Garamond relates to a news content licensing program.
- ix. **Project Metta**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Google also objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving its objections, Google states that Project Metta relates to Google's initiative to understand and ensure compliance with the European Commission's Digital Markets Act, as well as Google's response to antitrust regulatory investigations in Europe, Australia, and the UK.

2. Specification 2a

a. **<u>Division's Request</u>**: "[T]he names of the individuals involved in working on, approving, authorizing, or evaluating the project [identified in Specification 1] and the nature of their involvement[.]"

b. Company's Response:

	-		\sim	
1.	Proi	iect	Sun	day:
1.	110	cci	Sun	uay.

l.	Outside counsel: Ethan Klingsberg of Freshfields, Bruckhaus
	Deringer. John Harkrider and Daniel Bitton of Axinn, Veltrop
	and Harkrider.

2.	Google in-house counsel who managed the projec	t: Tec
	Lazarus, and Kent V	Walker
3.	Other Google in-house counsel involved:	
4.	Google employees who initiated the project:	
5.	Key decision makers: Kent Walker,	Philip
	Schindler,	
6.	Other Google employees involved:	

-		

ii. Project Monday:

- 1. *Outside counsel:* John Harkrider and Daniel Bitton of Axinn, Veltrop and Harkrider; Jordan Ellison and Jessica Staples of Slaughter & May.
- 2. Google in-house counsel who managed the project: Ted Lazarus and
- 3. Other Google in-house counsel involved:
- 4. Google employee who initiated the project: Philipp Schindler.
- 5. Key decision makers: Philipp Schindler,
- 6. Other Google employees involved:

 Scott Spencer,

iii. Project Stonehenge:

- 1. *Outside counsel:* John Harkrider, Daniel Bitton, Russell Steinhal, Leslie Overton, and Koren Wong-Ervin of Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider; Jordan Ellison, Isabel Taylor, Tina Zhuo and Jessica Staples of Slaughter & May.
- Google in-house counsel who managed the project:
 Other Google in-house counsel: Ted Lazarus,
 Google employees involved:

iv. **Project SingleClick**:

1. *Outside counsel:* John Harkrider, Daniel Bitton, Russell Steinhal, Leslie Overton, and Koren Wong-Ervin of Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider; Jordan Ellison and Jessica Staples of Slaughter & May.

vi.

vii.

advice:

2.	Google in-house counsel who managed and counseled on the project: Ted Lazarus
3.	Google employees:
	·
Projec	t 1Door:
•	Outside counsel: Daniel Bitton, Russell Steinthal, David Pearl and Andrew Freeborn of Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider; Jordan
2.	Ellison, Tina Zhuo and Jessica Staples of Slaughter & May. Google in-house counsel who managed and counseled on the
	project:
3.	Google employees:
Projec	t Banksy:
1.	Outside counsel: John Harkrider, Daniel Bitton, Russel Steinhal, Leslie Overton, and Koren Wong-Ervin of Axinn Veltrop & Harkrider; Tina Zhuo and Julliette Sailleau of Steinhalt & Marco Olivian Enfant of Enfant Classes & Associate
2.	Slaughter & May; Olivier Fréget of Fréget Glasser & Associes. Google in-house counsel who managed and counseled on the
2.	project:
3.	Google employees:
Projec	t Quantize:
1	Google in-house counsel who supervised or provided legal



viii.

	Ted Lazarus,
2	
2.	Google employee who initiated the request for legal advice:
3.	Other Google employees involved in the request for and receipt
	of legal advice:
Projec	ct Garamond: Google objects to this specification as overly
•	and unduly burdensome, as it seeks information unrelated to
Googl	e's ad tech products. Subject to and without waiving its
object	ions, Google states as follows:
1	Outside counsel: Jordan Ellison of Slaughter & May; Peter
•	McDonald and Florence Ninane of Allen & Overy; Liza Carver
	of Hebert, Smith, Freehills; Tihana Zuk and Peter Armitage of
	Ashurst; Thomas Graf and Henry Mostyn of Cleary Gottlieb;
	Remy Chavannes of Brinkhof; Christoph Stadler of Hengeler
	Mueller; John Harkrider, Daniel Bitton, and Russell Steinthal of Axinn, Veltrop & Harkrider.
2.	
	project:
	Kent Walker,
	Tront Walker,
2	
3.	Google employees:

- ix. **Project Metta**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Subject to and without waiving its objections, Google states as follows:
 - 1. Outside counsel: Thomas Graf, Henry Mostyn and Connor Opdebeeck-Wilson of Cleary, Gottlieb; Chris Thomas of Hogan Lovells; Jordan Ellison, Jessica Staples and Juliette Sailleau of Slaughter & May; Andrew Freeborn of Axinn Veltrop & Harkrider.

2.	Google	in-house	counsel:		Ted	Lazarus,
3.	Google	employees	<i>:</i>			

3. Specification 2b

a. <u>Division's Request</u>: "[T]he time period the project [identified in Specification 1] was undertaken[.]"

b. Company's Response:

- i. **Project Sunday**: The project began in May 2020, but most of the work was completed in July-September 2020. Although a couple of meetings occurred after September 2020, the project had effectively ended.
- ii. **Project Monday**: This project began in February 2021 and ended in May 2021.
- iii. **Project Stonehenge**: This project evolved out of Project SingleClick and began in February of 2020. Because this project evolved into Project Banksy, it does not have a specific end date.
- iv. **Project SingleClick**: This project began in January 2020. Because it evolved into Project Stonehenge, it does not have a specific end date.
- v. **Project 1Door**: This project began in February 2020 and is ongoing.
- vi. **Project Banksy**: The current Project Banksy effort began in February 2020 and is ongoing. The name "Banksy" also has been used to refer to the concept of header bidding integration, at least as early as 2019. By way of further response, Google refers the Division to the CID deposition testimony of
- vii. **Project Quantize**: The initial request for legal advice was made in October 2020, and the work concluded in February 2021.
- viii. **Project Garamond**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, as it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Subject to and without waiving its objections, Google states that this project began in the first quarter of 2020 and is ongoing.
- ix. **Project Metta**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Subject to and without waiving its objections, Google states that this project began in May 2021 and is ongoing.

4. Specification 2c

a. <u>Division's Request</u>: "[T]he cost associated with each project [identified in Specification 1] (in dollars or hours)[.]"

b. **Company's Response**:

- i. **Project Sunday**: Other than outside counsel fees and Google employee time, none.
- ii. **Project Monday**: Other than outside counsel fees and Google employee time, none.
- iii. **Project Stonehenge**: Other than outside counsel fees and Google employee time, none.
- iv. **Project SingleClick**: Other than outside counsel fees and Google employee time, none.
- v. **Project 1Door**: Other than outside counsel fees and Google employee time, none.
- vi. **Project Banksy**: Other than outside counsel fees and Google employee time, none.
- vii. **Project Quantize**: Other than Google employee time, none.
- viii. **Project Garamond**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, as it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products.
- ix. **Project Metta**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Subject to and without waiving its objections, Google states that other than outside counsel fees and Google employee time, none with respect to ad tech.

5. Specification 2d

- a. <u>Division's Request</u>: "[T]he subject matter of the project [identified in Specification 1] and any goals or objectives[.]"
- b. **Company's Response**:

- i. Project Sunday: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Sunday was an analysis of potential changes to Google's ad tech business in light of global regulatory investigations.
- ii. **Project Monday**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Monday was an analysis of potential changes to Google's ad tech products in light of global regulatory investigations.
- iii. **Project Stonehenge**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Stonehenge was an analysis of potential remedies in response to global regulatory investigations.
- iv. **Project SingleClick**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project SingleClick was an analysis of potential remedies in response to global regulatory investigations.
- v. **Project 1Door**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project 1Door is a proposal to streamline the three buying doors for Ad Manager and AdMob. By way of further response, Google refers the Division to the CID deposition testimony of
- vi. **Project Banksy**: Google objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that the current Project Banksy effort refers to an analysis of potential remedies in response to antitrust regulatory investigations. "Banksy" also refers to the concept underlying Header Bidding Manager, a feature designed to support demand coming into Ad Manager from client-side header bidding. By way of further response, Google refers the Division to the CID deposition testimony of

- vii. **Project Quantize**: Google objects to this specification as seeking information protected by the attorney-client privilege. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Quantize was a request for legal advice regarding compliance with privacy and competition laws and counsel's advice in response to that request.
- viii. **Project Garamond**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, as it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Google also objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving these objections, Google states that Project Garamond relates to a news content licensing program.
- ix. **Project Metta**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, to the extent it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Google also objects to this specification to the extent it seeks information protected by the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine. Subject to and without waiving its objections, Google states that Project Metta relates to Google's initiative to understand and ensure compliance with the European Commission's Digital Markets Act, as well as Google's response to antitrust regulatory investigations in Europe, Australia, and the UK.

6. **Specification 2e**:

a. <u>Division's Request</u>: "[T]he identities of any persons or entities not employed by (or an agent of) Google with whom the project [identified in Specification 1] was discussed (whether orally or in writing) and the content of those discussions."

b. **Company's Response**:

- i. **Project Sunday**: Other than outside counsel, none.
- ii. **Project Monday**: Other than outside counsel, none.
- iii. **Project Stonehenge**: Other than outside counsel, none.
- iv. **Project SingleClick**: Other than outside counsel, none.
- v. **Project 1Door**: Other than outside counsel, none.

- vi. **Project Banksy**: With respect to Project Banksy, none other than outside counsel. Header bidding integration has been discussed with a small number of publishers, ad exchanges, and the French Competition Authority. By way of further response, Google refers the Division to the CID deposition testimony of
- vii. **Project Quantize**: None.
- viii. **Project Garamond**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome, as it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products.
- ix. **Project Metta**: Google objects to this specification as overly broad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks information unrelated to Google's ad tech products. Subject to and without waiving its objections, Google states none other than outside counsel with respect to ad tech.

* * *

The Company requests confidential treatment of this information to the fullest extent allowed by law, including the Antitrust Civil Process Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1311 et seq., Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act, as well as all other applicable statutes, regulations, and customary confidentiality policies. The Company specifically requests advance notice before disclosure of this information to any person.

Please do not hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ Julie Elmer